

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/888,679 06/25/2001 Jeffrey R. Shutic 11694/04112 9972 27483 03/24/2004 **EXAMINER** CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP NGUYEN, DINH Q 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1400** CLEVELAND, OH 44114 3752 DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/888,679	SHUTIC ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dinh Q Nguyen	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	eptember 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-27,44-47 and 52-64 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-12,14,28-39,42,43 and 48-51</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7,13,40 and 41</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7.	6) Other:	T. T
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 12

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 15-27, 44-47, and 52-64 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 12*Claim Rejections* -

35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 10-12, 28, 29, 31-33, 35-37, 42, 43, 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischli et al.

Fischli discloses a powder coating spray system comprising a spray booth 4, spray gun 8, a powder feed apparatus with powder supply lines 58, a powder extraction apparatus 34.1 and 34.2 to collect over spraying powder to a cyclone separator 46, a vacuum source 52, a second powder collection device 56, a vacuum duct 68/44 to remove powder overspray, a cyclone outlet interface with exhaust line 48 to vacuum source 52, over spraying powder collected by cyclone 46 and mix with fresh powder in the container 56, the powder in the container 56 is to be fed to spray gun 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3752

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 3, 14, 30, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischli et al. in view of Diaz.

Fischli et al. teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a dual cyclone separator. However, Diaz discloses a powder spraying system with spray gun 32, booth 14, feed hopper 56 of a feed center, and a dual cyclone separator 73 wherein the over spraying powder is being fed back to the feed hopper 56 (figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Fischli with a dual cyclone separator as suggested by Diaz. Doing so would provide an effective way to remove over spraying powder (column 2, lines 24-33).

With respect to claim 34, Diaz spraying system is an electrostatic spraying system wherein surfaces, which are not to be painted, are to be ground for deflecting the electrostatic charged paint powder form adhering to (column 5, lines 40-43). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the device of Fischli with low conductivity material such as composite material for preventing charged powder adhering to unwanted surfaces.

5. Claims 9 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischli et al. in view of Ribnitz.

Fischli et al. teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a rotatable floor.

However, Ribnitz discloses a powder spraying system with a booth 2, a spray gun 3, with

Art Unit: 3752

rotatable floor 5 (figure 1), and the vacuum receiver being periodically interrupted (column 6, lines 9-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to configure the device of Fischli with a rotatable floor as suggested by Ribnitz. Doing so would provide an effective spraying system (column 2, lines 4-8).

6. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischli et al. in view of Shutic.

Fischli teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a sieve in the vacuum system. However, Shutic discloses a powder spraying system with a sieve screen in the vacuum system (column 13, lines 16-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Fischli with a sieve in the vacuum system as suggested by Shutic. Doing so would provide a way to prevent poor fluidization of the powder spraying system.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-7, 13, 40, and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a powder spraying system: Shaneyfelt, and O'Ryan et al.

Art Unit: 3752

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30-4:00 alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on 703-308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn